Training Language Teacher: An Educational Semiotic Model

The changing culture toward multimodality enforces acquiring visual literacy in every aspect of today's modern life. One of the fields intermingled with using modes in different variations, is language teaching and learning, especially for young learners. Young language learners' (5-12 years old) lack of world experience forces them to make the most use of non-verbal modes of communication to receive and send the intended message, which is enhanced in foreign language learning environment. As a result, the language teacher him/herself will be supposed to be armed with visual literacy of the new language and to have the ability to successfully transform this knowledge to the students. The present study aims to investigate whether three focused factors in Educational Semiotics- namely, Process of abduction, Principle of kinesics, and Proxemicsproposed by Sert (2006) exist in the curriculum of Iranian prospective language teachers in one of the educational districts in Isfahan. By distributing two questionnaires among TTC course instructors and pre-service teachers, and expressing the percentage of the analyzed data, the findings showed that the number of institutes which focus on the matter are rare. Also, it was proven that the pre-service teachers who majored in English Language, performed better in terms of realizing the meaning of body language units and comparing and contrasting them (principle of abduction). The results will be useful for future teachers and their educational curriculum in enhancing the fifth language skill, foreign language visual literacy.

Key words: English Teacher Training, pre-service teachers, Educational Semiotics, Body Language, Visual Literacy, Children.

Definition of some of the key words

Educational Semiotics (ES): The focus of ES is to enhance the awareness of social codes and signs (kinesics and proxemics) in the learning environment. Of the basic principles of educational semiotics is that the teacher should have a high awareness of signs and strategies for the teaching environment and be well equipped with inference power, another aspect of ES. The teacher should be able to teach children how to think and infer through contrastive analysis. ES insists on teaching methods that encourage students to compare and contrast the cultural aspects of native and target languages. This ability is called Abduction.

Body Language: Conscious and unconscious psychomuscularly-based body movements and intervening or resulting still positions, either learned or somatogenic, of visual, visual-acoustic and tactile and kinesthetic perception, which, whether isolated or combined with the linguistic and paralinguistic structures and with other somatic and objectual behavioral systems, possess intended or unintended communicative value (Poyatos, 2002).

Visual Literacy: Ability to understand at a conscious level the visual language used within a particular culture or cultures (Zimmer and Zimmer, 1978).

References

-Ariza, E., Lapp, S., Rhone, A. & Robison, S. (n.d). *Coping with Cultures in the Classroom: what every teacher should know.* Retrieved 8 July, 2013, from: *web.mnstate.edu/sandhe/06Eileen%20N.%20Ariza2.pdf*

-Birdwhistell, L., R. (1970). *Kinesics and Context: Essays on Body Motion Communication*. USA: Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania.

-Danesi, M. (2004). *Messages, Signs, and Meanings: A Basic Textbook in Semiotics and Communication Theory*. Canada: Canadian Scholar's Press Inc.

- Hooshmand, S. (2000). A Pedagogical Approach to the Contrastive Analysis of Non-Verbal Behaviors in Persian and English. Unpublished MA Thesis: Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

Iranparto (2011). *Training the Body Language*. Retrieved 8 July, 2013, from: <u>http://www.iranparto.com</u>

-Karimi, M., Dabaghi, A., Tabatabaei, O. (2012). The Impact of Nonverbal Communication on Iranian Young EFL Learners' Attitudes and Understanding of Lexical Items. *BELT Journal* · *Porto Alegre*, 3 (2), 188-203.

-Karimipur Davaninezhad, F. (2009). Cross-Cultural Communication and Translation. *Translation Journal*, 13 (4).

Elfatihi, M. (2005). *The role of nonverbal communication in Beginners' EFL Classrooms*. Unpublished MA thesis: Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Moroco.

- Ho, E., Holmes, P., & Cooper, J. (2004). *Reviewand Evaluation of International Literature on Managing Cultural Diversity in the Classroom*. New Zeallan: University of Waikato

-Martinez, J. (2008). The Cultural Element In Second Language Teaching *The International Journal of Arts Education*.

-Mohammadi Hassanabadi, F., & Vahid, Dastjerdi, H. Rainbow of Translation: A Semiotic Approach to Intercutural Transfer of Colors in Children's Picture Books.. Germany: LAP.

-Morain, G. (1978). *Kinesics and Cross-Cultural Understanding*. USA: Center for Applied Linguistics.

-Poyatos, F. (2002). Nonverbal Communication Across Desciplines: Volume II- Paralanguage, Kinesics, Silence, Personal and Environmental Interaction. USA: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

-Raee Sharifabad, M., Vali, S. (2011). A Comparative Study of Native and Non-native Body Language: The case of Americans' Kinesics vs. Persian English Speakers. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, issue 26 .Retrieved 8 July, 2013, from: <u>http://www.immi.se/intercultural</u>.

-Sert, O. (2008). Semiotic Aproach and its Contribution to English Language Learning and Teaching. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi E¤itim Fakültesi Dergisi*. 31, 106-114.

-Sree, P., Siawuk, Y.A. (2012). Awareness of Proxemics for effective Inter-Cultural Communication: The case of Gamo People of Ethiopia. *Research Expo International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 2 (3).

-- Shahshahani, S. (2008). Body as a means of non-verbal communication in Iran [Electronic version]. *International Journal of Modern Anthropology*

--Zimmer, A. & Zimmer, F. (1978). *Visual Literacy in Communication: Designing for Developmen.* Hulton Educational Publication Ltd.: Amersham.